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## Why this paper

- Original idea: Deregression into existing BLUP software
- Easy to use
- Get all benefits from existing software
- Easy to program
- Deregression convergence
- Convergence can be accelerated?
- Many methods to choose


## Base deregression equation system

Unknowns


## Base deregression equation system

Unknowns
$\left[\begin{array}{cccc}\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{R}^{-1}+\mathbf{A}^{b b} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1} & \mathbf{A}^{b a} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1} & \mathbf{A}^{b g} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}^{a b} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1} & \mathbf{A}^{a a} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1} & \mathbf{A}^{a g} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}^{g b} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1} & \mathbf{A}^{g a} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1} & \left(\mathbf{A}^{g g}+\mathbf{I}\right) \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{b} \\ \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{a} \\ \hat{\mathbf{g}}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{r}_{\mu} \\ \mathbf{r}_{b} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}\end{array}\right]$
b: Bulls with known EBVs ( $\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ) $\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{b}=\mathbf{a}_{b}-\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$
Relationship: $\quad \mathbf{r}_{b}=\mathbf{R}^{-1} \quad \mathbf{y}_{\mu}=\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{r}_{b}$
Deregressed EBVs $g$ : Random genetic groups

## Block solving strategy: Step 1

Use current values

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{R}^{-1}+\mathbf{A}^{b b} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1} & \mathbf{A}^{b a} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}^{a b} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1} & \mathbf{A}^{a a} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}^{g b} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1} & \mathbf{A}^{g a} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1}
\end{array}\right.} \\
& b \text { Bulls with known EBVs (ab) }
\end{aligned} \quad a: \text { Ances } ~ 又 ~\left[\begin{array}{l}
\end{array}\right.
$$


$\mathbf{A}^{a a} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1}$
$\mathbf{A}^{g a} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1}$
$a$ : Ancestors to bulls with known EBVs $g$ : Random genetic groups

Solve for ancestors to bulls with known EBV and genetic groups
Needs solving a linear system of equations

## Block solving strategy: Step 2

$\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{X}$

$$
\mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{X} \quad \mathbf{R}^{-1}+\mathbf{A}^{b b} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1} \quad \mathbf{A}^{b a} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1}
$$

0

$$
\mathbf{A}^{a b} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1}
$$

$$
\mathbf{A}^{g b} \otimes \mathbf{G}_{0}^{-1}
$$

b: Bulls with known EBVs ( $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{b}}$ )
$a$ : Ancestors to bulls with known EBV\$
$g$ : Random genetic groups

Calculate right-hand side
Needs coefficient matrix times vector product

## Block solving strategy: Step 3


b: Bulls with known EBVs (ab)
$a$ : Ancestors to bulls with known EBVs $g$ : Random genetic groups

Calculate general mean: $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{[k+1]}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{[k]}-\Delta^{[k]} \quad k=$ iteration number

$$
\Delta^{[k]}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mu}^{[k+1]}-\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{r}_{b}^{[k+1]}\right)
$$

## Block solving steps

1. Solve $\mathbf{t}_{a}$ and $\mathbf{g}$

Needs solving a linear system of equations
Use existing BLUP solver: PCG iteration
2. Calculate new right-hand side

Matrix times vector product
Available: operation needed by PCG iteration
3. Update general mean

Iterate steps 1 to 3 until convergence

## Accelerate solving of general mean

- Update in step 3:

- Root finding method in update of general mean
- Use $\Delta^{[k]}$ as value for function at current general mean $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{[k]}$
- Methods considered:
- None
- Bisection
- Secant
- Broyden


## Acceleration by root finding methods

- Secant:

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}^{[k+1]}=\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}^{[k]}-\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}^{[k]}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}^{[k-1]}}{\Delta_{i}^{[k]}-\Delta_{i}^{[k-1]}} \quad \text { for each trait } i
$$

- Broyden: $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{[k+1]}=\boldsymbol{\mu}^{[k]}-\mathbf{J}^{-1[k]} \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{[k]}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{J}^{-1[k]} & =\mathbf{J}^{-1[k-1]}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[k]}-\mathbf{J}^{-1[k-1]} \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{[k]}}{\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[k]} \mathbf{J}^{-1[k-1]} \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{[k]}} \boldsymbol{\delta}^{[k]^{\prime}} \mathbf{J}^{-1[k-1]} \\
\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[k]} & =\boldsymbol{\mu}^{[k]}-\boldsymbol{\mu}^{[k-1]}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Extra computation due to acceleration is small


## Block solving steps with acceleration

## 1. Solve $\mathbf{t}_{a}$ and $\mathbf{g}$

Needs solving a linear system of equations
Use existing BLUP solver: PCG iteration
2. Calculate new right-hand side

Matrix times vector product
Available: operation needed by PCG iteration
3. Calculate function value at current general mean

$$
\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{[k]}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\mu}^{[k+1]}-\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{r}_{b}^{[k+1]}\right)
$$

4. Update general mean by acceleration method

Iterate steps 1 to 4 until convergence

## Data

- Two data sets from a paper by Schaeffer (2001)
- Country A
- EBVs for 1st, 2nd, 3rd 305-d lactation protein yield
- 4 analyses: $1,1+2,1+2+3$ multiple trait, $1+2+3$ as single trait
- Country B
- EBVs for protein yield and somatic cell score (SCS)
- 4 analyses: protein, SCS, protein+SCS multiple trait, protein+SCS single trait

Results

## Function value with different

 values of general mean, 305-d protein yield in country B

## Iteration by different methods Country B, protein

| Iteration | None | Bisection | Secant | Broyden |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | -8.333 | -13.5 | -8.333 | -8.333 |
| 1 | -8.287 | 10.75 | -8.287 | -8.287 |
| 2 | -8.242 | -1.375 | 4126.96 | -4.748 |
| 3 | -8.197 | -7.438 | -4.748 | -4.748 |
| 4 | -8.153 | -4.406 | -4.748 | - |
| No. iterations | 713 | 16 | 4 | 3 |

## Number of BLUP solver calls by analysis (total number of PCG iterations)

| Country | Data | None | Bisection | Secan |  | Broyden |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Lactation 1 | 138 (1656) | 16 (191) | 4 | (48) | 3 | (36) |
| B | $\mathrm{MT}_{1+2}$ | 154 (1849) | 250 (3093) | 8 | (97) | 6 | (73) |
|  | $\mathrm{MT}_{1+2+3}$ | 169 (2197) | 269 (3497) | 39 |  | 7 | 91) |
|  | All, $\mathrm{ST}^{1}$ | 138 (1794) | 18 (233) | 4 | (51) | 8 | 104) |
|  | protein | 713 (8556) | 16 (192) | 4 | (49) | 3 | (36) |
|  | SCS | 149 (1506) | 12 (128) | 3 | (47) | 3 | (34) |
|  | $\mathrm{MT}_{\text {protein }+\mathrm{SCS}}$ | 748 (8976) | 444 (5329) | 6 | (73) | 6 | (72) |
|  | All ST ${ }^{1}$ | 713 (8556) | 16 (193) | 4 |  | 6 | (72) |

## Number of BLUP solver calls by analysis (total number of PCG iterations)

| Country | Data | None | Bisection | Secant | Broyden |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Lactation 1 | $138(1656)$ | $16(191)$ | $4(48)$ | $3 \quad(36)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B | protein | $713(8556)$ | $16(192)$ | $4(49)$ | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Secant and Broyden methods are very good

## Number of BLUP solver calls by analysis (total number of PCG iterations)

| Country | Data | None | Bisection | Secant | Broyden |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Lactation 1 | 138 (1656) | 16 (191) | 4 (48) | 3 (36) |
|  | $\mathrm{MT}_{1+2}$ | 154 (1849) | 250 (3093) | 8 (97) | 6 73) |
|  | $\mathrm{MT}_{1+2+3}$ | 169 (2197) | 269 (3497) | 39 (506) | 7 91) |
| B | protein | 713 (8556) | 16 (192) | 4 (49) | 3 (36) |
|  | SCS | 149 (1506) | 12 (128) | 3 (47) | 3 (34) |
|  | $\mathrm{MT}_{\text {protein }+\mathrm{SCS}}$ | 748 (8976) | 444 (5329) | 6 (73) | 6 (72) |

Secant is good, and Broyden methods is very good

## Number of BLUP solver calls by analysis (total number of PCG iterations)

| Country | Data | None | Bisection | Secant | Broyden |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Lactation 1 | $138(1656)$ | $16(191)$ | $4(48)$ | 3 | $(36)$ |
|  | MT $_{1+2}$ | $154(1849)$ | $250(3093)$ | $8(97)$ | $6 \quad(73)$ |  |
|  | MT $_{1+2+3}$ | $169(2197)$ | $269(3497)$ | $39(506)$ | 7 | $(91)$ |
|  | All, ST $^{1}$ | $138(1794)$ | $18(233)$ | $4(51)$ | $8(104)$ |  |
| B | protein | $713(8556)$ | $16(192)$ | $4(49)$ | 3 | $(36)$ |
|  | SCS | $149(1506)$ | $12(128)$ | $3(47)$ | 3 | $(34)$ |
|  | MT $_{\text {protein }+ \text { SCS }}$ | $748(8976)$ | $444(5329)$ | $6(73)$ | $6 \quad(72)$ |  |
|  | All ST $^{1}$ | $713(8556)$ | $16(193)$ | $4(49)$ | $6 \quad(72)$ |  |

Secant is very good, and Broyden methods is good

## Number of PCG iterations by analysis

| Country | Data | None | Bisection | Secant | Broyden |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Lactation 1 | 138 (1656 | 16 (191) | 4 (48 | 3 36 |
|  | $\mathrm{MT}_{1+2}$ | 154 (1849 | 250 (3093) | 8 (97) | 6 (73 |
|  | $\mathrm{MT}_{1+2+3}$ | $169(2197$ | 269 (3497) | 39 (506 | 7 91 |
|  | All, $\mathrm{ST}^{1}$ | 138 (1794 | 18 (233) | 4 (51) | 8 (104 |
| B | protein | 7138556 | 16 (192) | 4 (49 | 3 36 |
|  | SCS | 149 (1506 | 12 (128) | 3 (47) | 3 (34 |
|  | $\mathrm{MT}_{\text {protein }+\mathrm{SCS}}$ | 7488976 | 444 (5329) | 6 (73) | $6 \quad 72$ |
|  | All ST ${ }^{1}$ | 7138556 | 16 (193) | 4 (49 | 6 (72 |

Results follow the same pattern as number of solver calls

## Practical experiences (real data)

- Acceleration has worked very well
- Convergence affected by definition of genetic groups
- The more groups the faster convergence
- Changes in group definition has only small effect on deregressed proofs (correlation)
- Variance is affected
- Random genetic groups essential


## Conclusions

- Deregression using existing BLUP software
- Easy to implement
- Gives all advantages of the existing software
- User can start with deregression, and proceed to analyses with deregressed proofs easily:
- same pedigree, same variance components etc.
- Acceleration methods work very well
- No universally best among tested
- Broyden's method was best when there were high genetic correlations between traits
- Secant method was best when genetic correlations between traits were low

