

Estimation of Parameters for Heterogeneous Variance Adjustment on Test-Day Data

***Sz. Márkus, E.A. Mäntysaari,
I. Strandén, M.H. Lidauer***

MTT Agrifood Research Finland, Jokioinen



- **Heterogeneous variance adjustment**
 - **Meuwissen et al. (1996)**
 - **Pool and Meuwissen (2000)**
 - **Gengler and Wiggans (2001)**
 - **Pena and Ibañez (2002)**
 - **Objectives**
 - **Validate two HV adjustment methods for TD data of Nordic yield evaluation by a simulation study**
 - **Apply the methods on a sample data set**
-

MATERIALS AND METHODS



Basic model for simulation

- $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Xb} + \mathbf{Ch} + \mathbf{Za} + \mathbf{Wp} + \mathbf{e}$

$\mathbf{y}$ – TD milk observations with HV structure

$\mathbf{b}$ – fixed effects (herd year, herd slope, age at calving, days carried calf, test year-month and lactation curve)

$\mathbf{h}$ – random herd-test-day effect

$\mathbf{a}$ – random additive genetic animal effect

$\mathbf{p}$ – random non-genetic animal effect

$\mathbf{e}$ – measurement error

$\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{W}$ – design matrices

Heterogeneous variance adjustment

- Meuwissen et al. (1996) – **MEU-1**

$$\mathbf{y}_{ij} = \lambda_{ij}^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{X}_{ij}\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{C}_{ij}\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{Z}_{ij}\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{W}_{ij}\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{e}_{ij})$$

- Pool and Meuwissen (2000), Gengler and Wiggans (2001) – **MEU-2**

$$\mathbf{y}_{ij} = \mathbf{X}_{ij}\mathbf{b} + \lambda_{ij}^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{C}_{ij}\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{Z}_{ij}\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{W}_{ij}\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{e}_{ij})$$

Heterogeneous variance adjustment

- **Calculation of the adjustment factors**

$$\lambda_{ij} = \exp(-0.5 \cdot (\beta_{1j} + \beta_{2ip}))$$

- **Variance model**

$$s_{ijpk} = \mu + \beta_{1j} + \beta_{2ip} + \varepsilon_{ijpk}$$

β_{1j} – fixed effect for year-month j

β_{2ip} – random effect of herd $i \times$ test period p

Data sets for simulation

Sampled from Swedish Red Breed herds of the Nordic test-day model yield evaluation

- **200 large herds**
483 946 first lactation milk yield observations
18 observations in average *per HTD*
 - **200 randomly sampled herds**
267 446 first lactation milk yield observations
10 observations in average *per HTD*
-

Simulation process I.

1. Simulation of homogeneous observations

Fixed effects: BLUE solution of a BLUP run on sampled data

Random effects: generated from normal distributions using Cholesky decomposition of (co)variance matrix

Simulation process II.

2. Simulation of heterogeneity

Fixed effect: BLUE solutions of variance model from the real data

Random effect: generated from a first order AR process (given ρ and σ^2_{hy} or σ^2_{htm})

3. Dividing of generated homogeneous observations by generated scaling factors

Simulated variance models

Each model variant was applied for *both data set*

HY-model

$$\rho = 0.6$$

$$\rho = 0.9$$

$$\sigma^2_{hy} \quad \sigma^2_{hy} \quad \sigma^2_{hy} \quad \sigma^2_{hy}$$

$$=$$
0.1
$$=$$
0.5
$$=$$
0.1
$$=$$
0.5

HTM-model

$$\rho = 0.93$$

$$\rho = 0.98$$

$$\sigma^2_{htm} \quad \sigma^2_{htm} \quad \sigma^2_{htm} \quad \sigma^2_{htm}$$

$$=$$
0.1
$$=$$
0.5
$$=$$
0.1
$$=$$
0.5

16 model $\times$ data alternatives in total
Each simulation was replicated 5 times

Estimation of the variance model parameters from real data



- **Data set**

- Extension of the large herds data set
- *483 946* first lactation milk, protein and fat yield observations
- *306 035* second lactation milk, protein and fat yield observations

- **Model**

- Six-trait TDM with the same fixed and random effects as in the basic model for simulation



RESULTS



Simulation – HY model

True values	ρ σ^2_{hv}	0.6 0.1	0.6 0.5	0.9 0.1	0.9 0.5
Large herds					
MEU-1	ρ	0.59	0.59	0.88	0.89
	σ^2_{hy}	0.11	0.50	0.11	0.52
MEU-2	ρ	0.61	0.59	0.91	0.90
	σ^2_{hy}	0.10	0.48	0.10	0.49
Randomly sampled herds					
MEU-1	ρ	0.58	0.60	0.89	0.89
	σ^2_{hy}	0.11	0.51	0.11	0.50
MEU-2	ρ	0.61	0.61	0.92	0.90
	σ^2_{hy}	0.10	0.50	0.10	0.48

Simulation – HTM model

True values	ρ σ^2_{htm}	0.93 0.1	0.93 0.5	0.98 0.1	0.98 0.5
Large herds					
MEU-1	ρ	0.93	0.93	0.98	0.98
	σ^2_{htm}	0.11	0.54	0.11	0.52
MEU-2	ρ	0.94	0.93	0.99	0.98
	σ^2_{htm}	0.09	0.49	0.09	0.48
Randomly sampled herds					
MEU-1	ρ	0.94	0.94	0.99	0.98
	σ^2_{htm}	0.12	0.55	0.13	0.60
MEU-2	ρ	0.95	0.92	0.99	0.98
	σ^2_{htm}	0.09	0.49	0.10	0.47

Estimates from real data set – HY-model

		1. lactation			2. lactation		
		milk	protein	fat	milk	protein	fat
MEU-1	ρ	0.75	0.74	0.72	0.80	0.77	0.78
	σ^2_{hy}	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.07
	σ^2_{ε}	0.35	0.36	0.39	0.52	0.54	0.72
MEU-2	ρ	0.84	0.85	0.83	0.77	0.78	0.82
	σ^2_{hy}	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06
	σ^2_{ε}	1.46	1.55	1.84	1.12	1.24	1.59

Estimates from real data set – HTM-model

		1. lactation			2. lactation		
		milk	protein	fat	milk	protein	fat
MEU-1	ρ	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
	σ^2_{hy}	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.08
	σ^2_{ε}	0.31	0.33	0.38	0.40	0.41	0.57
MEU-2	ρ	0.93	0.95	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.93
	σ^2_{hy}	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.08
	σ^2_{ε}	1.13	1.39	1.66	0.91	1.00	1.58

CONCLUSIONS



Conclusions

- Herd sizes are sufficient large to estimate parameters for the variance model with both HV adjustment methods

Conclusions

- Parameter estimates depends on the applied adjustment method and variance model
 - To make a choice between the two heterogeneous variance adjustment methods more investigation will be necessary about the effect of the methods on the estimated breeding values.
-

Acknowledgement

We thank Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation NAV
for providing data and financial support



Thank you for your attention

