

**NAV workshop on Claw Health
Copenhagen May 4th 2011**

Nordic claw health index Weight on single traits

Jørn Pedersen

**Jan-Åke Eriksson, Kjell Johansson, Jukka Pösö, Morten Kargo
Sørensen, Ulrik Sander Nielsen, Gert Pedersen Aamand**

NAV



Nordisk Avlsværdi Vurdering • Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation

Survey of presentation

- **Claw health traits are introduced already**
- **Short introduction of the NTM-economic model** (originally presented at NAV workshop Jan. 8th, 2008)
- **Adjustments for claw health traits**
- **Economic assumptions**
- **Results**

NAV



Nordisk Avlsværdis Vurdering • Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation

Method: NTM - economic model

The model used for development of NTM in 2007-08

- *Deterministic data simulation (Excel – farm accounting)*
- *Results expressed as: Marginal profit per cow per year*
- *Many assumptions: Economic, technical, biological*

Shortcomings

- *Insufficient modelling of cow culling process*
- *All cow replacement costs attached to longevity*
”repair” by transfer of value from longevity

Introducing claw health traits into the NTM-model

- 7 additional traits (SU, SH, HH, DE, SP, WLS, CSC)
- For each trait: Breeding value for 1st, 2nd and 3rd lact. breeding values
- In total: 21 economic values should be estimated

NAV



Claw health traits

Phenotypic input to estimation of breeding values

SP, WLS, CSC

Two values: 0 = no disorder, 1 = disorder

Similar to mastitis, health (digestive diseases, feet&leg diseases, reproductive diseases)

SU, SH, HH, DE

Three values: 0 = no disorder, 1 = mild disorder, 2 = severe disorder

Require some additional scaling of economic values if costs of a mild disorder are not 50% of a severe disorder (similar problem for calving ease)

NAV



Which cost should **NOT** be included ?

- The basic cost of the claw-trimming
- Veterinarian treatments:
They are already included via the genetic evaluation in the other disease index
- Effect on other diseases (*included in other disease index*)
- Permanent production loss (*included in yield index*)
- Effect on fertility (*included in fertility index*)
- Effect on longevity in general (*is included in longevity*)

Which cost should **BE** included ?

- **Claw-trimmer costs:**
 - Extra costs caused by the disorder
 - Extra acute visits by the claw trimmer (Sole Ulcer)
 - *(BUT the basic cost of the claw-trimming itself should NOT be included)*
- **Herdsman/herd owner**
 - Extra work follow-up treatment should be included
- **Medicine, bandages or similar**

Which cost could be included ?

We might consider additional effects not included in evaluation, e.g.

- Increased risk of death (not part of longevity)
- Cost related to weight loss
- General loss of vitality

Previous analyses of economic value of claw diseases

Common to most analyses are that a major part of the economic value of a claw disorder is determined by

- Cost of permanent production loss
- More subsequent claw disease or other diseases
- Lower fertility
- Costs due to increased risk of culling

Most of the analyses are based on costs of veterinarian treatments
(include vet. costs)

From a breeding perspective: They include mostly costs that we do not want to include in the economic value of our claw health index

NAV



Previous analyses of economic value of claw diseases

In a Swedish report by Marcus Oskarsson there are detailed estimates of costs connected to claw-trimmer records for SU, DE and SP. That include:

- Claw trimmer cost
- Extra work for herdsman
- Medicine, bandages, other treatment costs
- *(and permanent production loss)*

Consultations with a Danish expert (Pia Nielsen)

NB: Pia Niensens estimates of costs were somewhat lower than those of Oskarsson

NAV



Basic cost per Claw disorder

| | Mild disorder | | | Severe disorder | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Extra work, herdsman, Minutes | Extra work, Claw trimmer, Minutes | Treatment, € | Extra work, herdsman, Minutes | Extra work, Claw trimmer, Minutes | Acute effect/ Treatment, € |
| SU | 30 | 5 | 0 | 270 | 5 | 80 |
| SH | 15 | 2.5 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 |
| HH | 15 | 2.5 | 5 | 30 | 5 | 10 |
| DE | 15 | 2.5 | 5 | 30 | 5 | 10 |
| | | | | All disorders | | |
| SP | | | | 30 | 5 | 10 |
| WLS | | | | 15 | 2.5 | 0 |
| CSC | | | | 0 | 5 | 0 |

NA



Basic cost per claw disorder

- Same basic cost for all countries
- Same basic cost for all breeds
- Cost of herdsman work: Same as in 2008 model
- Cost of claw trimmers work per minute: 4 x herdsman
- Other costs: Assumed to be at same level as in 2008

Consequence: Economic value for claw health traits are comparable with the economic values estimated in 2008

NAV



Results

7 traits, 3 lactations, 3 countries, 3 breeds

The NTM-model produces $7 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 189$ different values

In report: Results are summarized across all lactations

Difference in frequencies of disorders causes:

- Different values for breeds (small differences)
- Different values for countries
 - Difference between DNK and SWE is small
 - Large deviation for FIN, because severe disorders are absent in FIN data (especially SU)
 - Therefore: The final result used is average of DNK and SWE

NAV



Main results:

Value of breeding values summed across lactations

| | Unit | HOL | RDC | JER |
|-------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Protein | Kg | 4.60 | 4.81 | 4.15 |
| Feet&Leg diseases | %-units | 1.75 | 1.70 | 1.69 |
| Feet&Legs conf. | Point | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 |
| SU | Point | 64.91 | 65.23 | 66.43 |
| SH | Point | 8.67 | 8.71 | 9.00 |
| HH | Point | 13.98 | 14.05 | 14.52 |
| DE | Point | 13.98 | 14.05 | 14.52 |
| SP | Point | 25.56 | 25.68 | 24.14 |
| WLS | Point | 8.67 | 8.71 | 9.00 |
| CSC | Point | 9.67 | 9.73 | 12.81 |

Main results:

Value of breeding values relative to value per SU-point

“Making breeding values (not indexes) comparable on the SU-scale”

| | HOL | RDC | JER |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| SU | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| SH | 0.134 | 0.134 | 0.136 |
| HH | 0.215 | 0.215 | 0.219 |
| DE | 0.215 | 0.215 | 0.219 |
| SP | 0.394 | 0.394 | 0.363 |
| WLS | 0.134 | 0.134 | 0.136 |
| CSC | 0.149 | 0.149 | 0.193 |

NAV



Conclusion

- **Claw traits included in the NTM-model**
- **Basic costs: Mostly based on Marcus Oskarssons report**
- **Breed and country differences are small (except Finnish results for SU)**

NAV



Nordisk Avlsværdis Vurdering • Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation