

NTM 2018 sensitivity analyses

Overview of results

Lars Peter Sørensen, Jørn Pedersen, Jukka Pösö, Freddy Fikse
Jan-Åke Eriksson, Morten Kargo, Ulrik S. Nielsen, Gert P. Aamand

NAV



Nordisk Avlsværdi Vurdering •

Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation

Outline

- Sensitivity analyses – what we have done
- Details on:
 - Milk price decreased by 10 %
 - Feed costs increased by 10 %
 - Beef price decreased by 10 %
 - Changing replacement rate
- Conclusion

NAV



Nordisk Avlsværdi Vurdering •

Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation

General remark

Keep in mind that:

Large effects on economic values may not have large impact on expected genetic response

NAV



Nordisk Avlsværdi Vurdering •

Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation

3

Changing economic assumptions

Overall impact on expected genetic response

- **Milk price: +/-10 % - large impact**
- **Feed costs: +/-10 % - moderate impact**
- **Beef price: +/-10 % - low impact**
- **Veterinary costs: +10 % - low impact**
- **Labor costs: +10 % - low impact**

NAV



Nordisk Avlsværdi Vurdering •

Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation

4

Changing management assumption

- Use of sexed semen: +/-10 % - low impact
- Lower replacement rate: -5 %-units – low impact except for longevity
- Participation in health agreement schemes: either no herds or 20 % of all herds in basis agreement – low impact
- + a few scenarios special for JER

NAV



Nordisk Avlsværdi Vurdering •

Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation

5

Milk price -10 % (conv. DNK/SWE: 0.354; FIN: 0.388 – FIN inkl. subsidies)

Effect on economic values

- Value of standard milk decreased 18 % (all breeds)
- Improving ICF and IFL_{cows} → more calvings → more milk - minor negative effects
- Improving traits involving discarded milk – minor negative effects
- Improving longevity – value decrease 13 % (more older cows → more milk)

NAV



Nordisk Avlsværdi Vurdering •

Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation

6

Expected genetic response using milk price -10 %

Values from proposed conventional NTM added for comparison in small numbers

| | HOL | RDC | JER |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Yield | 0.55 0.63 | 0.73 0.80 | 0.70 0.77 |
| Growth | 0.11 0.13 | 0.04 0.05 | 0.08 0.07 |
| Fertility | 0.50 0.44 | 0.27 0.21 | 0.30 0.25 |
| Birth, direct | 0.29 0.26 | 0.19 0.14 | 0.08 0.08 |
| Calving, maternal | 0.35 0.32 | 0.18 0.16 | 0.18 0.18 |
| Udder health | 0.38 0.34 | 0.20 0.15 | 0.45 0.38 |
| General health | 0.38 0.34 | 0.19 0.17 | 0.29 0.27 |
| Frame | -0.02 0.01 | -0.01 0.02 | 0.14 0.15 |
| Feet & legs | 0.19 0.17 | 0.23 0.20 | 0.19 0.17 |
| Udder | 0.13 0.11 | 0.07 0.04 | 0.22 0.15 |
| Milking speed | 0.05 0.04 | 0.19 0.18 | 0.08 0.07 |
| Temperament | 0.08 0.09 | 0.07 0.09 | -0.01 -0.01 |
| Longevity | 0.53 0.50 | 0.47 0.45 | 0.52 0.48 |
| Claw health | 0.27 0.24 | 0.17 0.14 | 0.12 0.09 |
| Young stock survival | 0.26 0.23 | 0.30 0.25 | 0.33 0.28 |



2 7

Milk price -10 % - summary

- Economic value of milk drops
- This affects expected response for all traits
 - Yield ↓
 - Functional traits ↑ (fertility, udder health)
 - Conformation ↑ (JER udder)



Nordisk Avlsværdi Vurdering •

Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation

8

Feed costs +10 %

- Only affect economic values of traits where improvement either results in more milk or more animals for slaughter
- Largest effect on daily gain
- Also large proportional effect on ICF

NAV



Nordisk Avlsværdi Vurdering •

Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation

9

Feed costs +10 % - genetic response

- Biggest changes are seen for JER – tendency similar to effect of milk price
- In general small changes for HOL and RDC
 - Largest effect on yield for these breeds

NAV



Nordisk Avlsværdi Vurdering •

Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation

10

Beef price -10 % (conv. O5: SWE: 3.98, DNK: 3.45, FIN: 3.52)

- Value of daily gain decreased 22-26 %
- Improving survival rate – more cows can be inseminated with beef semen → more calves for slaughter – large negative impact (-15 to -22 %)
- Improving ICF and IFL_{cows} → more calvings → more calves for slaughter – similar negative effects – large impact on ICF
- Improving YSS → more calves for slaughter – large negative impact on all 4 traits (-12 to 23 %)

NAV



Nordisk Avlsværdi Vurdering •

Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation

11

Expected genetic response using beef price -10 %

Values from proposed conventional NTM added for comparison in small numbers

| | HOL | RDC | JER |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Yield | 0.65 0.63 | 0.81 0.80 | 0.77 0.77 |
| Growth | 0.11 0.13 | 0.05 0.05 | 0.08 0.07 |
| Fertility | 0.41 0.44 | 0.19 0.21 | 0.24 0.25 |
| Birth, direct | 0.24 0.26 | 0.13 0.14 | 0.08 0.08 |
| Calving, maternal | 0.30 0.32 | 0.15 0.16 | 0.18 0.18 |
| Udder health | 0.34 0.34 | 0.16 0.15 | 0.38 0.38 |
| General health | 0.33 0.34 | 0.15 0.17 | 0.27 0.27 |
| Frame | 0.02 0.01 | 0.03 0.02 | 0.15 0.15 |
| Feet & legs | 0.17 0.17 | 0.19 0.20 | 0.17 0.17 |
| Udder | 0.12 0.11 | 0.05 0.04 | 0.15 0.15 |
| Milking speed | 0.04 0.04 | 0.18 0.18 | 0.07 0.07 |
| Temperament | 0.09 0.09 | 0.09 0.09 | 0.00 -0.01 |
| Longevity | 0.50 0.50 | 0.45 0.45 | 0.48 0.48 |
| Claw health | 0.24 0.24 | 0.14 0.14 | 0.10 0.09 |
| Young stock survival | 0.22 0.23 | 0.25 0.25 | 0.26 0.28 |

NAV



2 12

Expected genetic response using beef price -10 %

Values from proposed conventional NTM added for comparison in small numbers

| | HOL | RDC | JER |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Yield | 0.65 0.63 | 0.81 0.80 | 0.77 0.77 |
| Growth | 0.11 0.13 | 0.05 0.05 | 0.08 0.07 |
| Fertility | 0.41 0.44 | 0.19 0.21 | 0.24 0.25 |
| Birth, direct | 0.24 0.26 | 0.13 0.14 | 0.08 0.08 |
| Calving, maternal | 0.30 0.32 | 0.15 0.16 | 0.18 0.18 |

Generally limited effect on responses

| | | | |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Frame | 0.02 0.01 | 0.03 0.02 | 0.15 0.15 |
| Feet & legs | 0.17 0.17 | 0.19 0.20 | 0.17 0.17 |
| Udder | 0.12 0.11 | 0.05 0.04 | 0.15 0.15 |
| Milking speed | 0.04 0.04 | 0.18 0.18 | 0.07 0.07 |
| Temperament | 0.09 0.09 | 0.09 0.09 | 0.00 -0.01 |
| Longevity | 0.50 0.50 | 0.45 0.45 | 0.48 0.48 |
| Claw health | 0.24 0.24 | 0.14 0.14 | 0.10 0.09 |
| Young stock survival | 0.22 0.23 | 0.25 0.25 | 0.26 0.28 |

Decreasing replacement rate (-5 %)

- More older cows - fewer replacement heifers are needed
- More beef crosses are born
- Fewer purebred heifers and bulls are born
- Survival rate 1st and IFL_{heifers} decrease – expressed fewer times – opposite for survival rate later and IFL_{cows}
- Improving ICF – more calves for slaughter but proportionally less for lower replacement rates; thus value decreases

Replacement rate and longevity

- Value of longevity decreases 28 % (all breeds)

| SWE HOL | Replacement rate | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | 27→26 | 37→36 |
| Herd life (longevity), days | 53 | 28 |
| Profit per cow per %, € | 11.3 | 11.0 |
| Profit per cow per day, € | 0.219 | 0.402 |

- Value of longevity expressed in profit per day not constant at different replacement rates

NAV



Nordisk Avlsværdi Vurdering •

Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation

15

Expected genetic response using replacement rate 27 %

Values from proposed conventional NTM added for comparison in small numbers

| | HOL | RDC | JER |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Yield | 0.65 0.63 | 0.83 0.80 | 0.80 0.77 |
| Growth | 0.12 0.13 | 0.07 0.05 | 0.08 0.07 |
| Fertility | 0.42 0.44 | 0.19 0.21 | 0.22 0.25 |
| Birth, direct | 0.25 0.26 | 0.13 0.14 | 0.09 0.08 |
| Calving, maternal | 0.31 0.32 | 0.15 0.16 | 0.19 0.18 |
| Udder health | 0.32 0.34 | 0.14 0.15 | 0.35 0.38 |
| General health | 0.32 0.34 | 0.14 0.17 | 0.25 0.27 |
| Frame | 0.02 0.01 | 0.04 0.02 | 0.16 0.15 |
| Feet & legs | 0.17 0.17 | 0.18 0.20 | 0.14 0.17 |
| Udder | 0.10 0.11 | 0.04 0.04 | 0.12 0.15 |
| Milking speed | 0.05 0.04 | 0.17 0.18 | 0.08 0.07 |
| Temperament | 0.08 0.09 | 0.08 0.09 | 0.01 -0.01 |
| Longevity | 0.48 0.50 | 0.41 0.45 | 0.46 0.48 |
| Claw health | 0.23 0.24 | 0.12 0.14 | 0.09 0.09 |
| Young stock survival | 0.22 0.23 | 0.24 0.25 | 0.26 0.28 |

NAV



2 16

Expected genetic response using replacement rate 27 %

Values from proposed conventional NTM added for comparison in small numbers

| | HOL | RDC | JER |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Yield | 0.65 0.63 | 0.83 0.80 | 0.80 0.77 |
| Growth | 0.12 0.13 | 0.07 0.05 | 0.08 0.07 |
| Fertility | 0.42 0.44 | 0.19 0.21 | 0.22 0.25 |
| Birth, direct | 0.25 0.26 | 0.13 0.14 | 0.09 0.08 |
| Calving, maternal | 0.31 0.32 | 0.15 0.16 | 0.19 0.18 |

Generally limited effect on responses

| | | | |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Frame | 0.02 0.01 | 0.04 0.02 | 0.16 0.15 |
| Feet & legs | 0.17 0.17 | 0.18 0.20 | 0.14 0.17 |
| Udder | 0.10 0.11 | 0.04 0.04 | 0.12 0.15 |
| Milking speed | 0.05 0.04 | 0.17 0.18 | 0.08 0.07 |
| Temperament | 0.08 0.09 | 0.08 0.09 | 0.01 -0.01 |
| Longevity | 0.48 0.50 | 0.41 0.45 | 0.46 0.48 |
| Claw health | 0.23 0.24 | 0.12 0.14 | 0.09 0.09 |
| Young stock survival | 0.22 0.23 | 0.24 0.25 | 0.26 0.28 |

Conclusive remarks I

- Economic values
 - Milk price – large effect on value of milk (mainly)
 - Beef price – large effect on value of daily gain and other traits
 - Feed costs – small effects but affects many traits
- Only milk price has notable effect on expected genetic response

Conclusive remarks II

- Feed costs do not have a large impact on expected response
- ...but combined with lower milk price the impact on response may be large
- Replacement rate and longevity explained
- Remaining scenarios: minimal impacts on expected genetic response

NAV



Nordisk Avlsværdi Vurdering •

Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation

19