Multitrait across country genomic evaluations for EuroGenomics countries

Hanni Kärkkäinen¹, Vincent Ducrocq², Sören Borchersen³, Gert Aamand⁴, Reinhard Reents⁵, Esa Mäntysaari¹

Interbull Open Meeting, June 22, 2019

¹Natural Resources Institute Finland,

²French National Institute for Agricultural Research (INRA), France

³EuroGenomics Cooperation, Denmark

⁴Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation (NAV), Denmark

⁵Vereinigte Informationssysteme Tierhaltung w.V. (vit), Germany

- EuroGenomics¹ multitrait across country evaluation -project² started on May 2018
- Contrary to the Melbourne project, EuroGenomics countries share bull genotypes
 - \implies Possible to build a true multitrait across country SNP BLUP evaluation using pseudo phenotypes from all countries directly

¹Germany (DEU), Nordic countries Denmark, Finland and Sweden (DFS), France (FRA), The Netherlands (NLD), Spain (ESP) and Poland (POL). Order and abbreviations from Interbull practice. ²Financed jointly by Luke, INRA, EuroGenomics COOP and German Livestock Association.

Genotypes

- + 46,342 SNP genotypes for total of \sim 35,000 bulls with a record
- Imputed genotypes received from NAV (\rightarrow common set of markers)

Phenotypes

- Protein yield, somatic cell score and female fertility
- Around 11,000 3,700 records within countries
- + EBVs the countries send to Interbull evaluation + EDC \rightarrow DRP
- Heritability estimates from countries

Pedigree

Genetic correlation estimates from Interbull

First phase

- Our first goal was to demonstrate and validate the performance of EuroGenomics SNP MACE
- We have accomplished that based on the shared bull genotypes, and shown that it is feasible and benefits the participants

SNP MACE Model

\$

I don't think we will go through these formulae, but they can be in presentation for readers to get details later.

• Basic SNP MACE model $\textbf{y} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \textbf{Z}\textbf{g} + \textbf{e}$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y}_c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \mathbf{1}^{n_1} \\ \vdots \\ \mu_c \mathbf{1}^{n_c} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_1 \mathbf{g}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{Z}_c \mathbf{g}_c \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_c \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

- y_i ∈ ℝ^{n_i} is the pseudo phenotype (deregressed national breeding value, later DYD) for country i ∈ [1,..., c] with n_i observations
- μ_i the general mean for country i
- 1 vector of n_i ones
- Z_i ∈ ℝ^{n_i×m} design matrix for genotypes (m is the number of markers, all countries have the same set of markers with same 0,1,2 coding)

- $\mathbf{g}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ estimated SNP effects for country i
- $\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ residual effects for country *i* individuals
- $Var(\mathbf{e}_i) = \sigma_{e_i}^2 diag(1/EDC_{ik}) = \mathbf{R}_i \ \forall i$, for animals $k \in [1, \dots, n_i]$

•
$$Cov(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_{i^+}) = 0 \quad \forall i \neq i^+$$

- $Var(\mathbf{g}_i) = \mathbf{I}^m \sigma_{\mathbf{s}_i}^2 \theta_i$, where $\theta_i = 1 / \sum_{j=1}^m 2p_i(1 p_{ij})$
 - with p_{ij} = allele frequency of locus j in country i, $\sigma_{s_i}^2$ = sire variance of country i and $\mathbf{I}^m \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ identity matrix
- $\operatorname{Cov}(\mathbf{g}_{i}, \mathbf{g}_{i^{+}}) = \mathbf{I}^{m} \sigma_{ii^{+}} \sqrt{\theta_{i} \theta_{i^{+}}}$, where $\sigma_{ii^{+}} = \rho_{ii^{+}} \times \sigma_{s_{i}} \sigma_{s_{i^{+}}}$, with $\rho_{ii^{+}} =$ genetic correlation between countries *i* and *i*⁺

$$\operatorname{Var}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{g}_{1}\\\vdots\\\mathbf{g}_{c}\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{I}^{m}\sigma_{s_{1}}^{2}\theta_{1} & \dots & \mathbf{I}^{m}\sigma_{1c}\sqrt{\theta_{1}\theta_{c}}\\ & \ddots & \vdots\\symm. & \mathbf{I}^{m}\sigma_{s_{c}}^{2}\theta_{c}\end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{D} \quad (2)$$

$$\in \mathbb{R}^{(c \times m) \times (c \times m)} \text{ and it's inverse}$$

$$\mathbf{D}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{D}^{11} & \dots & \mathbf{D}^{1c}\\ & \ddots & \vdots\\symm. & \mathbf{D}^{cc}\end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

$$\operatorname{Var}\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_{c} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{1} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ symm. & \mathbf{R}_{c} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R} \qquad (4)$$
$$\in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \text{ where } n = \sum_{i=1}^{c} n_{i} \text{ and}$$
$$\mathbf{R}_{i} = \sigma_{e_{i}}^{2} \operatorname{diag}(1/\operatorname{EDC}_{i_{k}}).$$

 $\in \mathbb{R}^{(c \times m) \times (c \times m)}$.

6

- Data was split into learning and validation sets by bulls' birth date \circ The youngest 10% from each country \rightarrow validation set
- Under SNP MACE the animal solutions (DGV) were computed as $\hat{a}_{ik} = \mathbf{z}_{ik} \hat{\mathbf{g}}_i$ for animal k in country i
- The bias b₁ was tested with a weighted linear regression of DRP_v on predicted DGV_v, using EDC_v as weights
- Validation reliability was defined as $R_v^2 = (cor(DRP_v, DGV_v))^2 / R_{DRP_v}^2$,
 - Records with $R_{DRP_V}^2 \ge 0.5$ were used in validation (except for Poland fertility trait $R_{DRP_V}^2 \ge 0.3$, due to limited no. of records)

Two reference methods:

- 1. Country-wise single trait model
 - Compares to situation where country uses only their own geno- and phenotypes
- 2. Current EuroGenomics practice i.e. using MACE proofs for all exhange bulls
 - 1. Run MACE BLUP \longrightarrow solutions for all animals
 - 2. Estimate reliabilities / EDC for all records
 - 3. \longrightarrow Deregressed proofs for all animals
 - 4. \longrightarrow National DGV:s by single trait GBLUP

Residual polygenic component

- Benefits all models (genomic MACE and both reference methods)
- We tested 10, 20 and 30% of polygenic effect \Rightarrow On average 20% best choice
- Genomic MACE R_v^2 rises on average 6%, also bias diminishes noticeably

Estimation of genetic correlations

- Estimated with MTG2 program (Lee & al.)
- Done with the "official Interbull style"
 - = variance ratio kept constant, genetic covariances and sire variances estimated
- Not much different values than Interbull estimates \iff Not much different reliabilities

Validation reliability R_v^2 of DGV predicted by the genomic MACE, current EuroGenomics MACE and a single trait model, all models with 20% polygenic effect and Interbull variance components.



Somatic cell score





After the first phase we have learned that

- Fitting genomic MACE with individual animal genotypes is feasible, and countries gain from cooperation
- The genomic MACE produces on average slightly higher validation reliability and is slightly less biased (higher *b*₁) than the current EuroGenomics MACE
- Under all of the tested models the equivalent GBLUP has better convergence properties than the SNP BLUP
- Residual polygenic component seems useful
- Genetic correlations estimated by Interbull can be utilized in genomic MACE

Second phase

- EuroGenomics countries want to include cow reference information *without* sharing the cow genotypes
- We are developing a method to use all the information, including cows
 - requires only SNP-solutions (computed with full national reference population) and PEVs of the shared bulls
- Procedure includes
 - 1. PEV $\xrightarrow{\text{iterative approximation}}$ EDC for bulls
 - 2. SNP-solutions & EDC & genotypes \longrightarrow RHS
 - 3. RHS & EDC & genotypes \longrightarrow Multitrait SNP-effects
- We call this "SNP information approximation approach"

The duplicate slide is here on purpose, it's good to show the whole system of equations before going to details

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \ddots \\ \mathbf{Z}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{i} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{Z}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{i} + \mathbf{D}^{ii} \end{bmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{D}^{ii^{+}} \end{bmatrix} \cdots \\ & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & \vdots \\ \mathbf{Z}_{i+}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}_{i+1}^{-1} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}_{i+1}^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{i+} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{i+}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}_{i+1}^{-1} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{Z}_{i+}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}_{i+1}^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{i+} + \mathbf{D}^{i^{+}i^{+}} \end{bmatrix} \cdots \\ & & \vdots \\ \mathbf{Z}_{i+}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}_{i+1}^{-1} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{Z}_{i+}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}_{i+1}^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{i+} + \mathbf{D}^{i^{+}i^{+}} \end{bmatrix} \cdots$$

Since EuroGenomics countries share the bull genotypes, we can construct the left hand side matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{i} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{Z}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{i} + \mathbf{D}_{i}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

— if we know the \mathbf{R}_i^{-1}

- Matrix \mathbf{R}_i^{-1} holds weights for each bull
- Until now we have used R_i⁻¹ = diag{EDC_{ij}σ_{ei}⁻²}, with EDC_{ij} being the number of daughters of bull j in country i
- On the other hand, the prediction error variance of bull j from country i

$$PEV_{ij} \simeq [(\mathbf{R}_i^{-1} + \mathbf{G}_i^{-1}\sigma_{s_i}^{-2})^{-1}]_{j,j}, \text{ where } \mathbf{G}_i = \sigma_{s_i}^{-2} \times \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{D}_i \mathbf{Z}_i'$$

• Equivalently the same can be attained using SNP model

$$PEV_{ij} \simeq \mathsf{z}_j[(\mathsf{Z}'_i\mathsf{R}_i^{-1}\mathsf{Z}_i + \mathsf{D}_i^{-1})^{-1}\mathsf{z}'_j]$$

Estimation of country wise EDC - II

- However, we are restricted to genotypes and EDCs that countries exchange
 ⇒ can't compute (Z'_iR⁻¹_iZ_i + D⁻¹_i)⁻¹ the countries use
- But, if we would get for each exchanged bull

$$PEV_{ij} = \mathsf{z}_j[(\mathsf{Z}'_i\mathsf{R}_i^{-1}\mathsf{Z}_i + \mathsf{D}_i^{-1})^{-1}\mathsf{z}'_j]$$

from the countries

• We could equate it to

$$PEV_{ij} = [(\Re_i^{-1} + \mathbf{G}_i^{-1}\sigma_{s_i}^{-2})^{-1}]_{j,j}$$

where \Re_i^{-1} would consists of weights of the (exchange) bulls that would lead into the same PEV that the country has computed with all animals (including) females in national genomic evaluation.

- Values of \Re_i^{-1} can be estimated iteratively
- We have used a Newton method, where the new EDC are estimated as:

$$edc_{k+1} = edc_k - \mathbf{C}_k^{-1}(PEV_k - PEV), \text{ where }$$

- edc_k and edc_{k+1} are the current and the subsequent EDC estimates, respectively,
- PEV_k is the PEV computed as diag(LHS⁻¹) using the current (kth) estimate of EDC,
- *PEV* consists of the PEVs the country has computed with the full national reference population and
- **C** is the value of the partial derivative of $(PEV_k PEV)$ with respect to *edc* at point *edc_k*, that can be simplified into $\mathbf{C} = LHS^{-1} \circ LHS^{-1}$,

corresponding to the general description of the Newton method

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{f(x_k)}{f'(x_k)}$$

- 1. Divide data for every country:
 - Validation (youngest 10%) & "full national reference population"
 - "Full reference" $\xrightarrow{\text{randomly 1:1}}$ "Shared bulls" + "Cows"
- 2. SNP-solutions and PEVs by country using the "full national reference"
 - $\rightarrow\,$ "shared SNP-solutions" & "PEVs of the shared bulls"
- 3. Country *i* PEVs $\xrightarrow{\text{Newton iteration}}$ Country *i* EDCs
- 4. Country *i* SNP-solutions \rightarrow Country *i* RHS
 - using "shared" genotypes (= half of the animals)
 - estimated EDC_i^{-1} as weights
- 5. All country wise RHS \rightarrow multitrait SNP BLUP \rightarrow SNP-solutions \rightarrow DGV
- 6. Validate by using the youngest 10%

Pilot for protein yield

Validation reliability R_v^2 of DGV predicted by multitrait SNP BLUP with full reference population, SNP information approximation, MT SNP BLUP with shared reference and national full reference SNP BLUP.



MULTITRAIT ACROSS COUNTRY

- All shared: countries would share everything, including cow genotypes
- SNP information approximation: countries share bull genotypes (as currently) + SNP-solutions & PEVs of shared bulls
- EGEN SNP MACE: countries share bull genotypes phase I model

SINGLE TRAIT

• National full reference: countries use all national information, but do not share anything

Discussion

EDC estimation

- The Newton iteration is computationally feasible
 - Requires 2 inverses of matrix size number_of_animals / iteration round
- In the pilot study the iteration was run until convergence

National SNP-solutions \rightarrow RHS \rightarrow MT SNP BLUP

- Is implemented for testing purposes as part of our MiX99 suite
- Works quite nicely
 - $\circ~$ Converges and behaves similar to "normal" multitrait SNP BLUP runs
- Could be even more advantageous for low heritability traits
- **Pilot study!** \Rightarrow With actual cow data behaves probably differently







Thank You !





Service & Daten aus einer Quelle